Biological Psychology xxx (2014) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## **Biological Psychology**



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/biopsycho

## Neural substrates of rumination tendency in non-depressed individuals

Camille Piguet<sup>a,\*</sup>, Martin Desseilles<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Virginie Sterpenich<sup>a</sup>, Yann Cojan<sup>a</sup>, 3 **01** Gilles Bertschv<sup>d</sup>, Patrik Vuilleumier<sup>a,e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Neuroscience, Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Switzerland

<sup>b</sup> Cyclotron Research Center, University of Liège, Belgium

<sup>c</sup> Department of Psychiatry, Geneva University Hospital, Switzerland

<sup>d</sup> Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health, Strasbourg University Hospital, University of Strasbourg, INSERMu1114, France

<sup>e</sup> Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Geneva University Hospital, Switzerland

### ARTICLE INFO 24

13 Article history: Received 7 April 2014 14 Accepted 10 September 2014 15

Available online xxx 16

17 Keywords:

10

12

- 18 fMRI 19
- 20 Depression
  - Rumination
- 21 22 Resting state
- RRS 23

## ABSTRACT

The tendency to ruminate, experienced by both healthy individuals and depressed patients, can be quantified by the Ruminative Response Scale (RRS). We hypothesized that brain activity associated with rumination tendency might not only occur at rest but also persist to some degree during a cognitive task. We correlated RRS with whole-brain fMRI data of 20 healthy subjects during rest and during a face categorization task with different levels of cognitive demands (easy or difficult conditions). Our results reveal that the more subjects tend to ruminate, the more they activate the left entorhinal region, both at rest and during the easy task condition, under low attentional demands. Conversely, lower tendency to ruminate correlates with greater activation of visual cortex during rest and activation of insula during the easy task condition. These results indicate a particular neural marker of the tendency to ruminate, corresponding to increased spontaneous activity in memory-related areas, presumably reflecting more internally driven trains of thoughts even during a concomitant task. Conversely, people who are not prone to ruminate show more externally driven activity.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

### 1. Introduction 25

A trait feature of different psychiatric disorders is negative 26 repetitive thinking (Moberly & Watkins, 2008), and in particular 27 rumination. According to the most frequent definition of this phe-28 nomenon, "ruminations are repetitive and passive thinking about 29 symptoms of depression and the possible causes and consequences 30 of these symptoms" (Nolen-Hoeksema, 1991). Although rumina-31 tion in general can be conceptualized as a form of thinking found 32 in different pathologies and present in everybody to some degree 33 (Nolen-Hoeksema & Watkins, 2011; Wells, 2004), it is most often 34 related to depressive mood (Nolen-Hoeksema, 2000) and has con-35 sistently been linked to negative affect (Thomsen, 2006). In this 36 perspective, depressive rumination is seen as a particular response 37

\* Corresponding author at: Laboratory for Neurology and Imaging of Cognition, Neuroscience Department, Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, University Medical Centre, 1 rue Michel Servet, 1211 Genève 4, Switzerland. Tel.: +41 22 379 5324: fax: +41 22 379 5402.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2014.09.005 0301-0511/© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

style that, instead of being oriented to problem solving, tends to enhance internal focus, pessimism, and perseverative cognitions, which may in turn exacerbate low mood (Moberly & Watkins, 2008; Nolen-Hoeksema, 1991; Nolen-Hoeksema, Wisco, & Lyubomirsky, 2008; Spasojevic & Alloy, 2001). Thus, accumulating evidence points toward rumination being an important vulnerability factor in the development of depression (McLaughlin & Nolen-Hoeksema, 2011; Wiersma et al., 2011) and constituting a maladaptive mental habit (Watkins & Nolen-Hoeksema, 2014). The tendency to ruminate is commonly measured by the Ruminative Response Scale (RRS) (Davis & Nolen-Hoeksema, 2000; Nolen-Hoeksema et al., 2008; Ray et al., 2005; Spasojevic & Alloy, 2001; Thomas et al., 2011; Whitmer & Banich, 2007).

Depression is also associated with different kinds of cognitive dysfunctions (for a review, see Gotlib & Joormann, 2010). A few specific deficits have been linked with ruminations, particularly cognitive inflexibility and difficulties in disengaging attention from irrelevant information (Joormann & D'Avanzato, 2010; Koster, De Lissnyder, Derakshan, & De Raedt, 2011; Whitmer & Banich, 2007). This might be even stronger for negative material (Lissnyder, Koster, Derakshan, & De Raedt, 2010; Koster, De Lissnyder, & De

Please cite this article in press as: Piguet, C., et al. Neural substrates of rumination tendency in non-depressed individuals. Biol. Psychol. (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2014.09.005

E-mail address: camille.piguet@unige.ch (C. Piguet).

2

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

C. Piguet et al. / Biological Psychology xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

Raedt, 2013). However, the link between rumination and allocation of attention is still unclear, because the direction of the causality is difficult to demonstrate. On the one hand, a general impairment in cognitive resources or control could explain the difficulties of subjects to allocate their attention and thoughts away from intrusive material (Koster et al., 2011; Levens, Muhtadie, & Gotlib, 2009). On the other hand, the dominance of ruminations in the content of attention could explain the difficulties in allocating or shifting cognitive resources to other thoughts (Philippot & Brutoux, 2008). Furthermore, a state of rumination might potentially result from deficient cognitive control normally allowing the inhibition of irrelevant thoughts and/or from increased generation of intrusive material through spontaneous associations and elaborative emotional processing (Mandell, Siegle, Shutt, Feldmiller, & Thase, 2014; Piguet et al., 2010). Hence, the functional relationships of rumination tendency with attention control remain to be better understood (for a recent review, see Whitmer & Gotlib, 2013).

The neural substrates of rumination remain largely unresolved. 76 Recent studies point to an involvement of heightened emotional 77 reactivity, but also weaker cognitive control. An early study (Ray 78 et al., 2005) examined how brain activation during cognitive reap-79 80 praisal of emotional pictures varied with trait rumination tendency, and found that the latter correlated with higher amygdala response 81 when increasing negative affect and lower medial prefrontal activ-82 ity when decreasing negative affect. Another study (Johnson, 83 Nolen-Hoeksema, Mitchell, & Levin, 2009) reported that higher trait 84 rumination in depressed individuals correlated with greater diffi-85 culties in deactivating the posterior cingulate cortex (PCC), a region 86 associated with self-focused processes, when engaged in an exter-87 nal (non-self-referential) task. They also reported lower activation 88 of anterior cingulate cortex (ACC). Cooney, Joormann, Eugene, 89 Dennis, and Gotlib (2010), using a rumination induction task, found 90 that depressed patients showed increased activation in amygdala, 91 rostral anterior cingulate cortex/medial prefrontal cortex (PFC), 92 dorsolateral PFC, and parahippocampal cortex, during rumination 07 relative to an abstract distraction task. Another study, using the 94 recall of autobiographical negative memories and subsequent focus 95 on elicited emotions as a proxy for rumination, found that the latter 96 correlated with activity in subgenual ACC and medial PFC (Kross, 97 Davidson, Weber, & Ochsner, 2009). More recently, Freton et al. 98 (2013) showed that lower brooding scores measured by the RRS (emphasizing the maladaptive component of self-reflection) corre-100 lated with increased activation of the posterior midline structures 101 during analytical compared to experiential self-focus, which may 102 103 account for impaired cognitive control on self-focus in high brooders. Finally, in depressed patients, using a factor structure derived 104 from multiple questionnaires and a paradigm alternating cognitive 105 and emotional tasks in depressed patients, Mandell et al. (2014) 106 showed that trait rumination correlated not only with amygdala 107 but also hippocampus activity. Other regions in PCC, MPFC, dlPFC, 108 and anterior insula also exhibited differential patterns as a func-109 tion of ruminations traits. Taken together, these studies suggest 110 that self-referential and memory-related activity, in addition to 111 emotional factors, may be associated with the presence and con-112 tent of ruminative thinking, but they do not elucidate a possible 113 role for attentional control abilities in promoting the appearance 114 or persistence of rumination activity. 115

A few other recent fMRI studies investigated the link between 116 depressive rumination and brain activity in the so-called default 117 mode network (DMN), which is typically associated with self-118 reflective processes and observed in resting state conditions, but 119 deactivated during attention demanding tasks. Hyperconnectiv-120 ity between components of the DMN in posterior cingulate cortex 121 (PCC) and subgenual ACC was found at rest for patients with Major 122 123 Depressive Disorder (MDD), correlating with RRS scores, and more 124 specifically the brooding subscore (Berman, Peltier, et al., 2011).

Another study comparing DMN and task-positive networks in MDD showed that ruminations were associated with increased DMN dominance at rest (Hamilton et al., 2011). Finally, by computing whole-brain correlation between RRS and resting state activity, Kühn, Vanderhasselt, De Raedt, and Gallinat (2012) found a negative relation with right inferior frontal gyrus, right ACC, and subgenual ACC (sgACC). They also found increased functional connectivity of the left striatum with left IFG in healthy individuals experiencing more unwanted thoughts (Kühn, Vanderhasselt, De Raedt, & Gallinat, 2013). This is consistent with higher rumination scores (as assessed by the RRS) being associated at rest with lower connectivity between sgACC and the middle and inferior frontal gyri in adolescents with a first-episode depression (Connolly et al., 2013). Again, increased activity and/or connectivity within areas of the DMN involved in emotional and self-referential processing might contribute to ruminations tendency, in addition to decreased activity in cognitive control regions. Altogether, this literature points to a link between rumination and cortical midline structure (Nejad, Fossati, & Lemogne, 2013), with an imbalance between the recruitment of externally directed attention/executive control networks (in fronto-parietal cortices) and internally directed self-referential/memory networks (in midline and limbic brain systems), particularly during rest (Marchetti, Koster, Sonuga-Barke, & De Raedt, 2012). However the relationships between attention state, cognitive control abilities and activity in other brain structures remain unclear in the context of rumination.

To clarify the neural substrates of rumination tendencies in the absence of depressive illness, the current study used fMRI in the same group of healthy participants, both during a demanding cognitive task with different degrees of attentional load, and during a resting state condition with no external demands. First, we specifically tested the hypothesis that lower attentional shifting abilities in high ruminators may release ruminative processes and thus lead to higher activation of self-related regions during lower cognitive load. Second, we hypothesized that a direct comparison between the resting and cognitive states, hence two separate datasets from the same population, should allow us to determine whether the neural activation pattern associated with rumination tendency seen at rest would resemble the pattern seen in the low demanding condition of the cognitive task. Specifically, we expected not only that brain areas reflecting attention control should show reduced engagement in people with higher rumination tendencies, but also that this should in turn promote a release of activity in brain areas involved in the generation of ruminative thoughts.

## 2. Methods

## 2.1. Participants

Twenty healthy subjects (10 women and 10 men) participated in this study, recruited through local advertisement, and filled informed consent. Participants reported having no neurologic or psychiatric medical history and taking no medication. Mean age was 24.9 (std 5.457, ranging from 18 to 37). The Ethical Committee for Psychiatry and Rehabilitation of the University Hospital of Geneva approved this study.

### 2.2. Questionnaires

Participants filled the 22-items of the Ruminative Response Scale (RRS) (Nolen-Hoeksema & Morrow, 1991) on the day of the MRI session. This questionnaire assesses the tendency of individuals to ruminate when they feel depressed. This trait measure has been widely used in clinical studies (e.g. Vanderhasselt & De Raedt, 2012) as well as neuroimaging studies (see Section 1). Participants also filled the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI, Beck, Steer, & Brown, 1996) and the Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI, Beck, Epstein, Brown, & Steer, 1988).

### 2.3. Behavioral task

As an active cognitive condition, we used a task switching paradigm (Piguet et al., 2013) with emotional faces. On each trial, participants first saw a cue for 150 ms, either the word "emotion" or "color" or "gender", instructing them to judge

125

186 187 188

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

Please cite this article in press as: Piguet, C., et al. Neural substrates of rumination tendency in non-depressed individuals. *Biol. Psychol.* (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2014.09.005

## C. Piguet et al. / Biological Psychology xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

З

275 276 277

278

279

280

281 282

283

288 289

290

291 292

> 300 301 302

303

304 305

307 308 309

306

310 311

323

324

325

the upcoming face pictures along this particular dimension. The cue stayed on the 180 screen while three faces appeared (arranged in a triangle) that were either male 190 or female, sad or happy, and colored in red or green. Participants had to decide (as 191 192 rapidly as possible) which among the three faces was different of the two others according to the preceding instruction cue. They answered with a button box with 193 194 a direct stimulus-mapping rule: button 1 for left face, button 2 for middle face, and button 3 for right face. The cue and face stimuli stayed on the screen until the 195 answer. There were 24 different faces taken from the Karolinska Directed Emotion 196 Face database (Lundqvist, Flykt, & Ohman, 1998). Participants did 4 sessions of 216 197 198 trials each (see Piguet et al., 2013 for additional details on the task design).

As in other task switching paradigms (De Lissnyder, Koster, Derakshan, & De 199 200 Raedt, 2010; Mayr & Keele, 2000), each trial was defined in terms of its position in 201 the task sequence. Conditions with a higher cognitive load were those where the 202 participant has to switch from one task to another (e.g., Color-Gender-Emotion, or Gender-Emotion-Gender), compared to situations involving the same task 203 (e.g., Emotion-Emotion). Sequences where participants have to switch 204 twice consecutively are considered to require overcoming an additional inhibi-205 206 tion component when returning to the same task (Color-Gender-Color), relative to two successive switch without returning (Color-Gender-Emotion). Altogether, 207 208 these different sequences and task conditions vielded 12 experimental conditions (RepetitionEmotion, RepetitionGender, RepetitionColor, SwitchEmotion, 209 SwitchGender, SwitchColor, DoubleswitchEmotion, DoubleswitchGender, Dou-210 bleswitchColor, InhibitionEmotion, InhibitionGender, InhibitionColor); but for the 211 purpose of our study, we mainly focused on the contrast between the easy con-212 dition (Repetition) and the more difficult conditions requiring switching (Switch, 213 Doubleswitch, Inhibition), Color, Gender and Emotion tasks pooled together. This 214 215 resulted in slightly more switch trials than repetition trials (respectively, 384 repetitions trials and 480 switch trials for each participant). 216

For the resting state condition, participants were simply asked to stay relaxed for 217 10 min, closing their eyes without falling asleep, and letting their thoughts wander. 218 The screen was turned off. Immediately after the scanning session, visual scales were 219 proposed to the participant to rate their level of sleepiness and anxiety. 220

#### 2.4. fMRI data acquisition 221

222 Data were acquired with a 3T magnetic resonance (MR) scanner (TIM Trio 223 Siemens) using a gradient echo-planar (EPI) sequence [35 transverse slices with 20% gap, voxel size:  $3 \text{ mm} \times 3 \text{ mm} \times 3.6 \text{ mm}$ , repetition time (TR): 2040 ms, echo 224 time (TE): 30 ms, flip angle (FA): 80°, field of view (FOV): 192 mm]. For the cognitive 225 task, between 193 and 318 scans (mean of 260) were acquired for each run of the 226 227 task, depending on how fast the participant answered. Three runs were acquired for each subject. The resting state session comprised 500 scans [EPI, matrix size 228 229  $64 \times 64 \times 21$ , voxel size  $3.75 \times 3.75 \times 5.25$ . TR 1100 ms, slice thickness 4.2 with 1.05 230 gap]. A structural MR scan was also acquired at the end of the fMRI session [T1weighted 3D MP-RAGE sequence, TR: 1900 ms, TE: 2.32 ms, TI: 900 ms, FA: 9°, FOV: 231 230 mm, matrix size 256 × 256 × 192, voxel size: .898 mm × .898 mm × .9 mm]. All 232 acquisitions were obtained on the same day. Stimuli were displayed using an LCD 233 234 projector (CP-SX1350, Hitachi, Japan) on a screen positioned at the rear of the scanner, which the participants could comfortably see through a mirror mounted on the 235 236 standard 12-channel head coil.

#### 2.5. Data analysis 237

263 264

fMRI data were analyzed using SPM5 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk) imple-238 mented in Matlab R2007b (Mathworks). All functional scans were realigned using 239 iterative rigid body transformations that minimize the residual sum of square 240 between the first and subsequent images. They were normalized to the MNI EPI 241 242 template (2D spline, voxel size: 2 mm  $\times$  2 mm  $\times$  2 mm) and spatially smoothed with 243 a Gaussian kernel with full-width at half maximum (FWHM) of 8 mm. A highresolution structural image was co-registered with the mean image of the EPI series 244 and normalized. 245

Data from the cognitive task were processed using a two-step analysis, taking 246 247 into account the intraindividual and interindividual variance, as described elsewhere (Friston et al., 1994). Statistical analyses were carried out using the GLM 248 for event-related design as implemented in SPM5. The onsets of conditions of inter-249 250 est were convolved with the canonical hemodynamic response function (HRF) and 251 used as a regressor in the individual design matrix (first level). The individual statistical images from each condition were used in a second-level ANOVA analysis 252 to create the contrasts of interest, i.e. Repetition (easy) versus Switching (difficult) 253 254 conditions.

The resting state data was treated with the same pre-processing steps, except for 255  $3 \text{ mm} \times 3 \text{ mm} \times 3 \text{ mm}$  normalization. They were subsequently analyzed with inde-256 pendent component analysis (ICA) using the GIFT toolbox (Calhoun, Adali, Pearlson, 257 & Pekar, 2001; Schöpf, Windischberger, Kasess, Lanzenberger, & Moser, 2010). This 258 259 data-driven method allows the creation of distinct maps of brain regions that show 260 the same temporal pattern of activity. The group maps were then inspected to select network of interest for subsequent analyses. 261 262

Finally, contrasts from the second level analysis of the cognitive task and maps from the ICA analysis of resting state were correlated independently in SPM, using a multiple linear regression model with the scores of each individual from the RRS,

BDI, and BAI. Although some of these measures were correlated (BDI, BAI) or tended to correlate (RRS, BDI), we chose to use a multiple regression in order to estimate correlations with the most complete model and thus account for all sources of variance. The correlations with ICA components were selectively searched within significant regions of the ICA map by using an inclusive mask (thresholded at p = .05). Correlation results are reported at a statistical threshold of p < .001 uncorrected at the voxel peak

Note that here we did not intend to compare switching performance between emotional and non-emotional tasks in our cognitive tasks, as this has been reported elsewhere (see Piguet et al., 2013) and this factor did not constitute an emotion induction procedure relevant to RRS (which is an individual trait dimension). Instead we primarily focused on (1) the tendency to ruminate in relation to attention load, and (2) the comparison of rumination activity at rest with the different attention load condition.

## 3.1. Population

Our participants had a mean RRS score of 40.5 (min 24, max 65, std 9.03). There are no norms for the general population, but a clinical study with different patient categories reported a mean score of 65 (std 11) for currently depressed patients, 47.7 (std 14.5) for remitted patients, and 36.5 (std 8.2) for never depressed participants (Watkins & Baracaia, 2002). The mean score on the Beck Depression Inventory was 4.75 (min 0, max 19, std 4.72). Rumination and depression scores were not correlated (r (20) = .278), p = .117). The mean score on the Beck Anxiety Inventory was 4.2 (min 0, max 15, std 3.98).

## 3.2. Behavioral results

In the cognitive task, reaction times (RT) in the easy condition (repetition) were compared with those in the three difficult conditions (switching trials) pooled together. The mean RT for repetition trials was 1127 ms (std = 31.9) and 1189 ms (std = 42.1) for switch trials. These two conditions were significantly different (paired ttest, t = 4.066, p = .001), confirming the existence of a switching cost (Meiran, 2010). This difference was also found when comparing each switch condition individually with repetition (all p < .005). Reaction times and switch cost did not correlate with RRS, but accuracy across all trials showed a negative correlation with RRS (r(20) = -.54, p = .011).

## 3.3. fMRI results

The ICA analysis of resting state fMRI data identified 36 distinct maps. Out of these, we selected two representative maps known to be associated with cognitive networks comparable with the taskrelated effects, i.e. an attentional network map involving large, bilateral and symmetric activations in the intraparietal cortex (IPS), and a visual network map involving large, bilateral, and symmetric activations in occipito-temporal cortex.

By entering the RRS score in a linear regression analysis of each map in SPM, we observed a significant positive correlation for the visual network map between resting activity in the entorhinal cortex and the score of rumination (Fig. 1A). Conversely, we observed a negative correlation for the attention network map between rumination scores and activity in the left middle occipital gyrus (see Table 1 and Fig. 2A). This suggests that the more the subjects tend to ruminate, the more they activate memory-related areas, but the less they recruit extrastriate visual areas during the resting session.

Two other ICA maps overlapped with the classical DMN (Buckner, 2012), but with a predominance of medial prefrontal activations in one component and of medial parietal activations in the other. The first frontal-dominant DMN map showed a *negative* correlation of RRS with ACC and PCC (both *p* < .001 uncorrected), whereas the second map also showed a selective *negative* 

Please cite this article in press as: Piguet, C., et al. Neural substrates of rumination tendency in non-depressed individuals. Biol. Psychol. (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2014.09.005

4

## **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

C. Piguet et al. / Biological Psychology xxx (2014) xxx-xxx



Fig. 1. Positive correlation with Ruminative Response Scale (RRS). (A) Correlation between high RRS and entorhinal cortex in the visual map of the resting state; (B) correlation between high RRS and entorhinal cortex in the easy condition of the cognitive task.



Fig. 2. Negative correlation with Ruminative Response Scale (RRS). (A) Correlation between low RRS and visual cortex; (B) correlation between low RRS and insula in the easy condition of cognitive task.

## Table 1

Correlations between Ruminative Response Scale (RRS) and anatomical regions; (p < .001 unc. except \*p < .005).

MNI coordinates	x	у	Z	Voxels	Z score
Resting state, visual map, RRS positive					
Left entorhinal cortex	-16	-20	-28	11	3.81
Resting state, attentional map, RRS negative					
Left medial occipital gyrus (visual)	-24	-96	0	10	3.99
Cognitive task, easy vs. difficult conditions, RRS positive					
Left entorhinal cortex	-15	-18	-24	3	4.08
Cognitive task, easy vs. difficult conditions, RRS negative					
Right insula	42	18	-6	10	3.8

Please cite this article in press as: Piguet, C., et al. Neural substrates of rumination tendency in non-depressed individuals. *Biol. Psychol.* (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2014.09.005

## **ARTICLE IN PRESS**



Fig. 3. Correlation between Ruminative Response Scale (RRS) and parameter estimates of entorhinal cortex in easy condition.

correlation in PCC (but slightly weaker, at *p* = .005 uncorrected) and
no effect in ACC.

For the cognitive task, our whole-brain analysis focused on 328 the main conditions of interest and correlations with RRS (more 329 detailed results concerning all conditions are reported elsewhere; 330 331 see Piguet et al., 2013). We first computed the main effect of condition by contrasting easy versus difficult trials. As expected, the 332 difficult condition (contrast Switch > Repetition) produced signifi-333 cant increases in regions associated with attentional shifting and 334 monitoring, including bilateral superior parietal lobule (SPL) and 335 PCC, replicating previous work with similar tasks (Chiu & Yantis, 336 2009; Kim, Cilles, Johnson, & Gold, 2012; Philipp, Weidner, Koch, 337 & Fink, 2012; Piguet et al., 2013). These activations are consis-338 tent with the predicted increase in attentional demands on switch 339 trials and a recruitment of brain areas typically associated with 340 cognitive control and flexibility. Conversely, the easy condition 341 (contrast Repetition > Switch) produced significant activations in 342 the left caudate nucleus, the right inferior frontal gyrus, the left 343 superior frontal gyrus, and the right dorsomedial PFC. This network 344 is compatible with brain areas engaged in learning and maintain-345 ing stimulus-response associations (Boettiger & D'Esposito, 2005; 346 Brass & von Cramon, 2004), and suggests that subjects recruited 347 more "procedural" and automatized sensorimotor processes in this 348 condition. 349

More importantly, we then performed a whole-brain regression 350 analysis with rumination scores from each individual, using the 351 contrast "easy > difficult", which should highlight patterns of brain 352 activity under low attentional demand, more similar to the res-353 ting state. Results showed a significant positive correlation between 354 RRS and a medial temporal lobe region strikingly similar to that 355 observed in the previous analysis of resting state: i.e. the left 356 entorhinal cortex (see Fig. 1B and Table 1). Fig. 3 plots the parameter 357 estimates of activity in this region and the correlation with rumina-358 tion scores. This relation held true (p = .009) even when removing 359 one extreme point (RRS score = 65). Further inspection of this cor-360 relation for each task condition separately showed that this effect 361 in entorhinal cortex was driven by both increases during the easy 362 condition (Repetition) and decreases during the difficult condition 363 (Switch). Finally, when looking at the opposite (negative) correla-364 tion, we found that higher RRS scores were associated with lower 365 activity in the right anterior insula during the easy vs. difficult con-366 dition (Table 1 and Fig. 2B). No other correlations were found at the 367 whole brain level. 368

When repeating the same whole-brain parametric analysis with brooding subscore (instead of total RRS) as a linear regressor, we found similar clusters in the entorhinal region during the easy condition of the attentional task (peak xyz = -15, -18, -21, Z = 5.25, p = .001 unc.) as well as during the resting state condition for the visual map from ICA although with a lower threshold (peak xyz = -15, -24, -30, Z = 2.45, p = .01 unc.). Brooding scores also correlated negatively with activation in visual areas during rest for the attentional map (xyz = -20, -100, -4, Z = 2.68, p = .01 unc.) but not with the insula during the easy condition. This weaker effect found for brooding during rest might reflect that ruminations at rest are also linked to more adaptive components of rumination, such as reflection and problem solving in association with mindwandering, whereas intrusive thoughts during low attention demands are more specifically linked to the maladaptive component associated with brooding.

## 4. Discussion

We correlated scores on the Ruminative Response Scale (RRS) with brain activation patterns measured by fMRI, and compared these patterns during both an attention-demanding cognitive task (task-switching) and rest in the same participants. We found similar activation in the entorhinal cortex in both cases, which positively correlated with RRS scores during the resting state but also during the easy, less demanding condition of our cognitive task. This similarity of effects in the entorhinal cortex is all the more striking that it was observed in two totally separate datasets, corresponding to very different behavioral conditions. In addition, we also found that RRS scores correlated negatively with activity in extrastriate visual areas during rest, whereas they correlate negatively with the right anterior insula in the easy cognitive task condition.

These results provide novel support to the hypothesis that people with a propensity to ruminate, even when non-depressed, tend to recruit brain systems mediating the retrieval of personal memories and self-related information more strongly or persistently than non-ruminators. The entorhinal cortex is indeed intimately linked to autobiographical memories and familiarity (De Vanssay-Maigne et al., 2011). The medial temporal lobe in general, and more particularly the parahippocampal gyrus, has also been postulated to mediate self-referential associations in depression (Bar, 2009). Increased connectivity between this region and posterior cingulate cortex could mediate the relationship between autobiographical memories and rumination and represent a neural substrate of vulnerability to depressive episodes (Zamoscik, Huffziger, Ebner-Priemer, Kuehner, & Kirsch, 2014). A link between rumination tendency and hippocampus activation has also recently been suggested (Mandell et al., 2014). Our findings thus provides novel evidence that increased activity in this region may constitute a neural marker of rumination tendencies even in the absence of clinical depression, with spontaneous recruitment at rest as well as during an active task with low attentional demand.

By contrast, the reverse correlation observed in visual areas during rest suggests that individual with higher self-focus and ruminations allocate less resources to processing sensory visual inputs from the external world and/or engage less in visual imagery during rest. This converges with other findings that higher reactivity of visual cortex may be protective with regard to depressive relapses (Farb, Anderson, Bloch, & Segal, 2011). Finally, we also found that the more people tend to ruminate, the less they activate the right insula during the easy cognitive task. This is consistent with the recent findings from Mandell et al. (2014). Because the insula is implicated in self monitoring, saliency detection, and interoceptive awareness (Craig, 2009; Singer, Critchley, & Preuschoff, 2009), we postulate that rumination tendencies may represent a maladaptive style of response with a relative lack of attention to bodily and affective signals in favor of internal cognitions (Smith & Alloy, 2009). This fits well with a recent model of interoceptive dysregulation in depression and anxiety (Paulus & Stein, 2010).

A major novelty of our work is to demonstrate that these correlations specifically exist when participants can let their mind 376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

C. Piguet et al. / Biological Psychology xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

wander, i.e. either at rest or when attentional resources are not fully //30 engaged in the ongoing cognitive task. As noted in our introduc-440 tion, the reciprocal relationship between rumination and cognitive 441 control is not elucidated yet. It is unclear whether the presence 442 of ruminations is responsible for depleting cognitive resources 443 (bottom-up process); or instead whether impaired cognitive con-444 trol might contribute to a failure to suppress rumination (top-down 445 process) (see Mandell et al., 2014; Nejad et al., 2013). In our study, 446 the entorhinal cortex, considered the marker of the tendency to 447 ruminate, was generally more activated in low attentional condi-448 tions (easy > difficult task), in addition to the resting state, a pattern 449 clearly showing such competition of the rumination process with 450 attention. More importantly, our design could also directly estab-451 lish that the differential neural activity associated with rumination 452 is influenced by the current attentional state, and demonstrate 453 that it is attenuated with increasing cognitive load (i.e. during the 454 difficult task). This pattern suggests that a greater exhaustion of 455 attentional resources by higher task demands does not exacer-456 bate spontaneous activity related to rumination tendency, but may 457 rather attenuate it. It remains to be determined whether this pat-458 tern, observed in non-clinical population, would hold in depressive 459 460 patients. In such case, it would support the benefit of interventions introducing "more structure and constraints into activities" 461 in order to reduce rumination in clinical populations (Watkins & 462 Nolen-Hoeksema, 2014). 463

A few behavioral studies examined the relation between atten-464 tion performance and rumination in healthy people. For example, 465 ruminators were found to exhibit more perseverative errors in the 466 Wisconsin Card Sorting Test, but not in a simple task-switching task 467 (Davis & Nolen-Hoeksema, 2000). The authors concluded that rumi-468 nation may interfere with performance and preferentially occur 469 under conditions in which attention is not fully controlled by exter-470 nal cues. Likewise, depressed and non-depressed participants may 471 perform similarly on a directed task but depressed patients perform 472 worse on a free task (Watkins & Brown, 2002). An elegant investiga-473 474 tion of Hertel (1998) also found that, in dysphoric students, a time interval spent as "free" time or with "self-focused thoughts" had 475 negative consequences on performance in a subsequent cognitive 476 task, whereas this was not the case after a time interval with dis-477 traction by external stimuli or for non-dysphoric students (Hertel, 478 479 1998). Furthermore, in a dual-task condition, depressed patients perform worse only during high interference trials, and this cost 480 correlates with rumination (Levens et al., 2009). Taken together, 481 these data converge to suggest that rumination may contribute 482 483 to impair the controlled allocation of cognitive resources, but that their spontaneous occurrence can be reduced by greater attentional 484 engagement to goal-relevant information, which is consistent with 485 the pattern found here. 486

Neuroimaging studies further support this functional relation-487 ship. Active task conditions may not only reduce the presence of 188 rumination, but also reduce connectivity between anterior and 489 posterior midline brain regions (Berman, Peltier, et al., 2011). In 490 healthy controls, rumination or brooding correlate with difficulties 491 in disengaging from emotionally negative material and increased 492 activity in dorsolateral PFC, consistent with greater need for atten-493 tional control (Vanderhasselt, Kuhn, & De Raedt, 2011). Berman, 494 Neel, et al. (2011) also showed that depressed patients had more 495 difficulties in expelling negative (but not positive) material from 496 short-term memory in a direct forgetting procedure, and this diffi-497 culty correlated with rumination scores and variability of activity 498 in left inferior frontal gyrus. However, these studies could not con-499 clude if ruminations diminish cognitive resources, or if insufficient 500 cognitive resources predispose to ruminations. 501

Here, we found that distinctive brain activation associated with rumination tendency (i.e. in entorhinal cortex) primarily occurred during low attention demands or at rest. Thus, when attention is successfully engaged by external stimuli, task-unrelated activations in memory and self-referential systems may no longer arise. Moreover, we found that accuracy in the task was negatively correlated with rumination tendencies (without any effect on RTs), suggesting that other mental processes underlying ruminations may indeed occupy attentional resources to the disadvantage of other cognitive processes under limited cognitive demand. The susceptibility to rumination may thus become more apparent during conditions of low attentional load or resting state, with higher activation of memory and self-related networks. We therefore conclude that it is not a limitation in attentional resources that predispose to ruminations, although concomitant deficits in executive control abilities and failure to inhibit self-related negative thoughts might contribute to exacerbate the ruminations (Koster et al., 2011) and perhaps engender some vicious circle. In particular, impairment in the inhibition of negative thoughts might result from hypoactivation of prefrontal cortical areas, as commonly found in depression (De Raedt & Koster, 2010; see also Price & Drevets, 2012).

Lastly, our study demonstrates that RRS correlates with differential (lower) activity in regions of ACC and PCC linked with the DMN in our ICA analysis of resting state. But no effect of rumination was found in these areas during the cognitive task. Thus, modulation of DMN (Greicius et al., 2007; Grimm et al., 2011) is not a general feature of rumination but might be more specific of thought processes during resting state.

## 5. Limitations

One limitation of our study is that we focused our investigation on the total RRS score, rather than specific subscores, i.e. depressive brooding and reflexive pondering, respectively thought to reflect the more negative component and the more adaptive component of rumination (Treynor, Gonzalez, & Nolen-Hoeksema, 2003). However, as in many other recent studies, this general assessment of trait rumination appears to be a valid and sensitive method (McLaughlin & Nolen-Hoeksema, 2011), providing a reliable marker for depressive traits and underlying neural changes (Siegle, Steinhauer, Thase, Stenger, & Carter, 2002; Thomas et al., 2011). Another limitation is that we did not induce rumination to directly assess their impact on brain activity. However, our primary goal was to investigate the influence of cognitive states on neural processes predicting rumination trait, but not the neural underpinnings of the rumination experience itself – which are likely to implicate more widespread networks beyond medial temporal lobe. Finally, the size of our population is relatively small for establishing interindividual differences, however the consistency of our results between two different datasets provides a valuable argument in favor of these results.

## 6. Conclusion

We demonstrate that people with high scores on a questionnaire measuring the tendency to ruminate in conditions of low mood (RRS) display spontaneous activity in memory-related areas (i.e. entorhinal cortex), suggesting an increase of internally driven trains of thoughts and associations. Strikingly similar activity occurs during rest and during an ongoing cognitive task, but predominantly when the attentional task demands are low (easy condition), suggesting a competition for attentional resources between ruminations and task goals. Conversely, participants with high RRS score show reduced activation in externally driven (visual) and interoceptively driven (insula) areas, consistent with a diversion of attention to internal thoughts, away from sensory or bodily signals. 528

529

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

505

506

Please cite this article in press as: Piguet, C., et al. Neural substrates of rumination tendency in non-depressed individuals. *Biol. Psychol.* (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2014.09.005

# CLE IN PR

## C. Piguet et al. / Biological Psychology xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

### Role of funding source 566

This work was supported by fellowships from the Swiss National 56<mark>82</mark> Science Foundation and the Foundation Vachoux to CP, as well as an award of the Geneva Academic Society (Foremane Fund) to PV. 569

### Contributors 570

CP designed the study, acquired the data, analyzed the data, 571 and drafted the article. PV designed the study, analyzed the data, 572 and drafted the article. MD and GB designed the study, and revised 573 the article critically for important intellectual content. YC and VS 574 helped to analyze the data, and revised the article critically for 575 important intellectual content. All authors contributed to and have 576 approved the final manuscript. 577

#### **Conflict of interest** 578

All authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest. 579

#### References 580

587

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613 614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630 631

632

633

634

635 636

637

- Bar, M. (2009). A cognitive neuroscience hypothesis of mood and depression. Trends 581 in Cognitive Sciences, 13(11), 456-463. 582
- Beck, A. T., Epstein, N., Brown, G., & Steer, R. A. (1988). An inventory for measur-583 ing clinical anxiety: Psychometric properties. Journal of Consulting and Clinical 584 Psychology, 56(6), 893-897. 585
- Beck, A. T., Steer, R. A., & Brown, G. K. (1996). Manual for the Beck depression inventory 586 (2nd ed.). San Antonio: The Psychological Corporation. 588
  - Berman, M. G., Nee, D. E., Casement, M., Kim, H. S., Deldin, P., Kross, E., et al. (2011). Neural and behavioral effects of interference resolution in depression and rumination. Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience, 11(1), 85-96.
  - Berman, M. G., Peltier, S., Nee, D. E., Kross, E., Deldin, P. J., & Jonides, J. (2011). Depression, rumination and the default network. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 6(5), 548-555.
  - Boettiger, C. A., & D'Esposito, M. (2005). Frontal networks for learning and executing arbitrary stimulus-response associations. Journal of Neuroscience, 25(10), 2723-2732
  - Brass, M., & von Cramon, D. Y. (2004). Decomposing components of task preparation with functional magnetic resonance imaging. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 16(4), 609-620.
  - Buckner, R. L. (2012). The serendipitous discovery of the brain's default network. NeuroImage, 62(2), 1137-1145.
  - Calhoun, V. D., Adali, T., Pearlson, G. D., & Pekar, J. J. (2001). A method for making group inferences from functional MRI data using independent component analysis. Human Brain Mapping, 14(3), 140-151.
  - Chiu, Y. C., & Yantis, S. (2009). A domain-independent source of cognitive control for task sets: Shifting spatial attention and switching categorization rules. Journal of Neuroscience, 29(12), 3930-3938.
  - Connolly, C. G., Wu, J., Ho, T. C., Hoeft, F., Wolkowitz, O., Eisendrath, S., et al. (2013). Resting-state functional connectivity of subgenual anterior cingulate cortex in depressed adolescents. Biological Psychiatry, 74(12), 898-907.
  - Cooney, R. E., Joormann, J., Eugene, F., Dennis, E. L., & Gotlib, I. H. (2010). Neural correlates of rumination in depression. Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience, 10(4), 470-478.
  - Craig, A. D. (2009). How do you feel Now? The anterior insula and human awareness. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 10(1), 59-70.
  - Davis, R. N., & Nolen-Hoeksema, S. (2000). Cognitive inflexibility among ruminators and nonruminators. Cognitive Therapy and Research, 24(6), 699-711.
  - De Lissnyder, E., Koster, E. H., Derakshan, N., & De Raedt, R. (2010). The association between depressive symptoms and executive control impairment in response to emotional and non-emotional information. Cognition & Emotion, 24(2), 264-280.
  - De Raedt, R., & Koster, E. H. (2010). Understanding vulnerability for depression from a cognitive neuroscience perspective: A reappraisal of attentional factors and a new conceptual framework. Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience, 10(1), 50 - 70.
  - De Vanssay-Maigne, A., Noulhiane, M., Devauchelle, A. D., Rodrigo, S., Baudoin-Chial, S., Meder, J. F., et al. (2011). Modulation of encoding and retrieval by recollection and familiarity: Mapping the medial temporal lobe networks. NeuroImage, 58(4), 1131-1138.
  - Farb, N. A. S., Anderson, A. K., Bloch, R. T., & Segal, Z. V. (2011). Mood-linked responses in medial prefrontal cortex predict relapse in patients with recurrent unipolar depression. Biological Psychiatry, 70(4), 366-372.
  - Freton, M., Lemogne, C., Delaveau, P., Guionnet, S., Wright, E., Wiernik, E., et al. (2013). The dark side of self-focus: Brain activity during self-focus in low and high brooders. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience (Epub ahead of print)
  - Friston, K. J., Holmes, A. P., Worsley, K. J., Poline, J.-P., Frith, C. D., & Frackowiak, R S. J. (1994). Statistical parametric maps in functional imaging: A general linear approach. Human Brain Mapping, 2(4), 189-210.

- Gotlib, I. H., & Joormann, J. (2010). Cognition and depression: Current status and future directions. Annual Review of Clinical Psychology, 6, 285-312.
- Greicius, M. D., Flores, B. H., Menon, V., Glover, G. H., Solvason, H. B., Kenna, H., et al. (2007). Resting-state functional connectivity in major depression: Abnormally increased contributions from subgenual cingulate cortex and thalamus. Biological Psychiatry, 62(5), 429-437.
- Grimm, S., Ernst, J., Boesiger, P., Schuepbach, D., Boeker, H., & Northoff, G. (2011). Reduced negative BOLD responses in the default-mode network and increased self-focus in depression. World Journal of Biological Psychiatry, 12(8), 627-637
- Hamilton, J. P., Furman, D. J., Chang, C., Thomason, M. E., Dennis, E., & Gotlib, I. H. (2011). Default-mode and task-positive network activity in major depressive disorder: Implications for adaptive and maladaptive rumination. Biological Psychiatry, 70(4), 327-333.
- Hertel, P.T. (1998). Relation between rumination and impaired memory in dysphoric moods. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 107(1), 166-172.
- Johnson, M. K., Nolen-Hoeksema, S., Mitchell, K. J., & Levin, Y. (2009). Medial cortex activity, self-reflection and depression. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 4(4), 313-327.
- Joormann, J., & D'Avanzato, C. (2010). Emotion regulation in depression: Examining the role of cognitive processes. Cognition and Emotion, 24(6), 913-939.
- Kim, C., Cilles, S. E., Johnson, N. F., & Gold, B. T. (2012). Domain general and domain preferential brain regions associated with different types of task switching: A meta-analysis. Human Brain Mapping, 33(1), 130-142.
- Koster, E. H., De Lissnyder, E., Derakshan, N., & De Raedt, R. (2011). Understanding depressive rumination from a cognitive science perspective: The impaired disengagement hypothesis. Clinical Psychology Review, 31(1), 138-145.
- Koster, E. H. W., De Lissnyder, E., & De Raedt, R. (2013). Rumination is characterized by valence-specific impairments in switching of attention. Acta Psychologica, 144(3), 563-570.
- Kross, E., Davidson, M., Weber, J., & Ochsner, K. (2009). Coping with emotions past: The neural bases of regulating affect associated with negative autobiographical memories. Biological Psychiatry, 65(5), 361-366.
- Kühn, S., Vanderhasselt, M.-A., De Raedt, R., & Gallinat, J. (2012). Why ruminators won't stop: The structural and resting state correlates of rumination and its relation to depression. Journal of Affective Disorders, 141(2-3), 352-360.
- Kühn, S., Vanderhasselt, M.-A., De Raedt, R., & Gallinat, J. (2013). The neural basis of unwanted thoughts during resting state. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience (Epub ahead of print).
- Levens, S. M., Muhtadie, L., & Gotlib, I. H. (2009). Rumination and impaired resource allocation in depression. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 118(4), 757-766.
- Lundqvist, D., Flykt, A., & Ohman, A. (1998). The karolinska directed emotional faces (CD-ROM). Stockholm, Sweden: Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Psychology Section, Karolinska Institute
- Mandell, D., Siegle, G. J., Shutt, L., Feldmiller, J., & Thase, M. E. (2014). Neural substrates of trait ruminations in depression. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 123(1), 35-48
- Marchetti, I., Koster, E. H. W., Sonuga-Barke, E. J., & De Raedt, R. (2012). The default mode network and recurrent depression: A neurobiological model of cognitive risk factors. Neuropsychology Review, 22(3), 229-251.
- Mayr, U., & Keele, S. W. (2000). Changing internal constraints on action: The role of backward inhibition. Journal of Experimental Psychology, 129(1), 4-26.
- McLaughlin, K. A., & Nolen-Hoeksema, S. (2011). Rumination as a transdiagnostic factor in depression and anxiety. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 49(3), 186–193.
- Meiran, N. (2010). Task-switching. In R. Hassin (Ed.), Self control in society, mind, and brain. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Moberly, N. J., & Watkins, E. R. (2008). Ruminative self-focus, negative life events, and negative affect. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 46(9), 1034-1039.
- Nejad, A. B., Fossati, P., & Lemogne, C. (2013), Self-referential processing, rumination, and cortical midline structures in major depression. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 7, 666.
- Nolen-Hoeksema, S. (1991). Responses to depression and their effects on the duration of depressive episodes. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 100(4), 569–582.
- Nolen-Hoeksema, S. (2000). The role of rumination in depressive disorders and mixed anxiety/depressive symptoms. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 109(3), 504-511.
- Nolen-Hoeksema, S., & Morrow, J. (1991). A prospective study of depression and posttraumatic stress symptoms after a natural disaster: The 1989 Loma Prieta earthquake. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 61(1), 115–121.
- Nolen-Hoeksema, S., & Watkins, E. R. (2011). A heuristic for developing transdiagnostic models of psychopathology explaining multifinality and divergent trajectories. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 6(6), 589-609.
- Nolen-Hoeksema, S., Wisco, B. E., & Lyubomirsky, S. (2008). Rethinking rumination. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 3(5), 400-424.
- Paulus, M. P., & Stein, M. B. (2010). Interoception in anxiety and depression. Brain Structure & Function, 214(5-6), 451-463.
- Philipp, A. M., Weidner, R., Koch, I., & Fink, G. R. (2012). Differential roles of inferior frontal and inferior parietal cortex in task switching: Evidence from stimulus-categorization switching and response-modality switching. Human Brain Mapping, 34(8), 1910-1920.
- Philippot, P., & Brutoux, F. (2008). Induced rumination dampens executive processes in dysphoric young adults. Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry, 39(3), 219-227
- Piguet, C., Dayer, A., Kosel, M., Desseilles, M., Vuilleumier, P., & Bertschy, G. (2010). Phenomenology of racing and crowded thoughts in mood disorders: A theoretical reappraisal. Journal of Affective Disorders, 121(3), 189-198.

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

Please cite this article in press as: Piguet, C., et al. Neural substrates of rumination tendency in non-depressed individuals. Biol. Psychol. (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2014.09.005

### 8

724

726

727

728

729

730

731

733

735

738

739

740

741

## C. Piguet et al. / Biological Psychology xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

- Piguet, C., Sterpenich, V., Desseilles, M., Cojan, Y., Bertschy, G., & Vuilleumier, 725 P. (2013). Neural substrates of cognitive switching and inhibition in a face processing task. NeuroImage, 82, 489-499.
  - Price, J. L., & Drevets, W. C. (2012). Neural circuits underlying the pathophysiology of mood disorders. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 16(1), 61-71.
  - Ray, R. D., Ochsner, K. N., Cooper, J. C., Robertson, E. R., Gabrieli, J. D., & Gross, J. J. (2005). Individual differences in trait rumination and the neural systems supporting cognitive reappraisal. Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience, 5(2), 156 - 168
- 732 Schöpf, V., Windischberger, C., Kasess, C. H., Lanzenberger, R., & Moser, E. (2010). Group ICA of resting-state data: A comparison. Magma (New York, NY), 23(5-6), 734 317-325
- 736 Siegle, G. J., Steinhauer, S. R., Thase, M. E., Stenger, V. A., & Carter, C. S. (2002). Can't 737 shake that feeling: Event-related fMRI assessment of sustained amygdala activity in response to emotional information in depressed individuals. Biological Psychiatry, 51(9), 693-707.
  - Singer, T., Critchley, H. D., & Preuschoff, K. (2009). A common role of insula in feelings, empathy and uncertainty. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 13(8), 334-340.
- Smith, J. M., & Alloy, L. B. (2009). A roadmap to rumination: A review of the defini-742 tion, assessment, and conceptualization of this multifaceted construct. Clinical 743 744 Psychology Review, 29(2), 116-128.
- Spasojevic, J., & Alloy, L. B. (2001). Rumination as a common mechanism relating 745 746 depressive risk factors to depression. Emotion, 1(1), 25-37.
- 747 Thomas, E. J., Elliott, R., McKie, S., Arnone, D., Downey, D., Juhasz, G., et al. (2011). Interaction between a history of depression and rumination on neural response 748 to emotional faces. Psychological Medicine, 41(9), 1845-1855. 749
- Thomsen, D. K. (2006). The association between rumination and negative affect: A 750 review. Cognition & Emotion, 20(8), 1216-1235.

- Treynor, W., Gonzalez, R., & Nolen-Hoeksema, S. (2003). Rumination reconsidered: A psychometric analysis. Cognitive Therapy and Research, 27(3), 247–259.
- Vanderhasselt, M.-A., & De Raedt, R. (2012). How ruminative thinking styles lead to dysfunctional cognitions: Evidence from a mediation model. Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry, 43(3), 910-914.
- Vanderhasselt, M. A., Kuhn, S., & De Raedt, R. (2011). Healthy brooders employ more attentional resources when disengaging from the negative: An event-related fMRI study. Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience, 11(2), 207-216.

Watkins, E., & Baracaia, S. (2002). Rumination and social problem-solving in depression. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 40(10), 1179-1189.

- Watkins, E., & Brown, R. G. (2002). Rumination and executive function in depression: An experimental study. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry, 72(3), 400-402
- Watkins, E. R., & Nolen-Hoeksema, S. (2014). A habit-goal framework of depressive rumination. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 123(1), 24-34.
- Wells, P. (2004). Depressive rumination: Nature, theory and treatment. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Whitmer, A. J., & Banich, M. T. (2007). Inhibition versus switching deficits in different forms of rumination. Psychological Science, 18(6), 546-553.
- Whitmer, A. J., & Gotlib, I. H. (2013). An attentional scope model of rumination. Psychological Bulletin, 139(5), 1036-1061.
- Wiersma, J. E., van Oppen, P., van Schaik, D. J., van der Does, A. J., Beekman, A. T., & Penninx, B. W. (2011). Psychological characteristics of chronic depression: A longitudinal cohort study. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 72(3), 288-294.
- Zamoscik, V., Huffziger, S., Ebner-Priemer, U., Kuehner, C., & Kirsch, P. (2014). Increased involvement of the parahippocampal gyri in a sad mood predicts future depressive symptoms. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience (Epub ahead of print).

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778